

Grand Chiropractic

Harold S. Black, D.C. 630 S. Grand Ave. #105 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Phone 714-835-1111 Fax 714-835-0244

Important Notice: This report contains protected health information that may not be used or disclosed unless authorized by the patient or specifically permitted by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

Patient Information									
Name	Susan L. Spine			Patient ID	234	1			
Address	1221 Bridge St.	Day Phone 435-				Gender	Female		
	Saint George, Utan 84271	Night Phone				Handedness	Right		
Email				Birth Date	10/	19/1950			

Incident I	nfo	ormation				
Name / Descrip	tion	Low Back Injury				
Care Providers		Dr. Marion Stillwell	Lilian Rut	herford		
Insurance 1	Bil Gateman Quicksilver Insurance 1100 St. George Blvd. Saint George, Utah 84211 435-894-1000			Insurance 2		
Policy No.	895642343			Policy No.		
Claim No.	Claim No. 904a			Claim No.		
Employer				Referred By		
Job Title				Purpose		
Attorney	Susa Son 890 Tay 435	an Sontiago tiago & Wells Sping Village Rd. lorsville, UT 84133 727-8900		Objectives		

Exam Information								
Name / Description Low Back Evaluation	Exam Date 11/22/2004							
Contact Dr. Marion Stillwell JTECH Medical	Tested By Lilian Rutherford							

Provider Signature	Date
	11/14/2007

Incident - Notes

Ms. Spine hurt her back while lifting groceries out of her car trunk.

Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

- **[xxx]** Indicates the repetition was excluded from statistical calculations.
- **<xxx>** Signifies a state of ankylosis in the specified motion.
 - **Anky** Ankylosis. "Yes" means the tester observed ankylosis, as defined by the AMA.
 - **Avg** Average, or arithmetic mean, of a series of values or repetitions.
 - **Cons** Consistency of effort. "Yes" indicates a CV of less than 15%, and "No" denotes a CV of 15% or more.
 - **CV** Coefficient of variation between repetitions, which can be used as a measure of consistency. It is equal to the standard deviation divided by the mean and is expressed as a percentage.
 - **Dev** Deviation between repetitions based on range of motion validity criteria in the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment that three consecutive repetitions must fall within 5 degrees or 10 percent of the mean, whichever is larger. Deviation is expressed in degrees if the mean is 50 degrees or less and as a percentage if the mean is greater than 50 degreees.
 - **Diff** Percentage of side to side difference based on the test's primary stat.

Notes and References - Inclinometry

Notes - Spine ROM

- 1. An automatic subtraction dual inclinometer was used for testing, negating the need for documenting the T1 and T12 intermediate numbers.
- 2. Normal values are from the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment (5th Edition).
- Ankylosis is defined by the Guides for spine range of motion as an inability to reach neutral. The joint may be either fixated or partially mobile.
- 4. Negative values indicate a lordotic or kyphotic curve opposite to normal.
- 5. Validity is determined using either deviation (Dev) or coefficient of variation (CV), as selected by the examiner.

Notes - Extremity ROM

- 1. Normal values are from the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment (5th Edition).
- 2. Ankylosis is defined as a fixated, immobile joint, differing its meaning in spine range of motion.
- Negative numbers indicate a lag condition, which signifies the joint retains partial movement but is unable to reach neutral.

References

Norm

% Norm

1. Cocchiarella L, Andersson G (eds): AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment, Fifth Edition. American Medical Association 2001.

Fatigue Percent difference between the maximum force and

any force exerted during the initial ready time.

Grade Subjective form of assigning a value to a muscle test,

Max Maximum value of a series of values or repetitions.

Mean Average force exerted over a single repetition. This

% N Percent of norm. This is a comparison of the test's

Primary The value, either maximum or average, used for

primary stat to the predicted norm, if available.

Stat statistical calculations such as percent difference and

other independent research.

percent of norm.

Normal test result to be expected from a healthy

such as patient age, gender, and weight. They are

ending force during an individual repetition. This excludes

with grade 5 usually representing full, normal resistance.

excludes any force exerted during the initial ready time.

individual. Norms may vary depending on several factors,

derived from a variety of sources, including the AMA and

- 2. Gerhardt J, Cocchiarella L, Lea R. The Practical Guide to Range of Motion Assessment. American Medical Association 2001.
- 3. Lantz CA, Chen J, Buch D. Clinical Validity and Stability of Active and Passive Cervical Range of Motion with Regard to Total and Unilateral Uniplanar Motion. SPINE: 24, 11;1082-1089.
- Ng JK, Kippers V, Richardson CA, Parnianpour M. Range of motion and lordosis of the lumbar spine: reliability of measurement and normative values. Spine 2001;26(1):53-60.

Notes

- 1. Normal values are from the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment (5th Edition).
- 2. Ankylosis is defined as a fixated, immobile joint.
- 3. Negative numbers indicate a lag condition, which signifies the joint retains partial movement but is unable to reach neutral.

References

- 1. Cocchiarella L, Andersson G (eds): AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment, Fifth Edition. American Medical Association 2001.
- 2. Norkin CC, White DJ. Measurement of Joint Motion: A Guide to Goniometry, 2nd edition. FA Davis Co. 1995.
- 3. American Society of Hand Therapists: Clinical Assessment Recommendations, 2nd edition. ASHT 1992.
- Gajdosik RL, Bohannon RW. Clinical Measurement of Range of Motion. Review of Goniometry Emphasizing Reliability and Validity. Phys Ther 1987; 67(12):1867-72.

Notes and References - Muscle Testing

- 1. Reese NB. Muscle and Sensory Testing. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company;1999.
- Hislop HJ, Montgomery J. Daniels and Worthingham's Muscle Testing: Techniques of Manual Examination, 6th ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company; 1995.
- 3. Cocchiarella L, Andersson G (eds): AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment, Fifth Edition. American Medical Association 2001.
- Bohannon RW. Manual muscle testing: does it meet the standards of an adequate screening test? Clinical Rehabilitation 2005;19:662-667.
- Bohannon RW. Intertester Reliability of Hand-Held Dynamometry: A Concise Summary of Published Research. Perceptual and Motor Skills 1999;88:899-902.
- 6. Lee JH, et al. Trunk muscle weakness as a risk factor for low back pain. A 5-year prospective study. Spine 1999 Jan 1;24(1):54-7.
- 7. Vernon HT, et al. Evaluation of Neck Strength with a Modified Sphygmomanometer Dynamometer: Reliability and Validity. J Manip Phys Ther 1992;15,6:343-349.
- 8. Ylinen J, et al. Decreased isometric neck strength in women with chronic neck pain and the repeatability of neck strength measurements. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004;85(8):1303-8.

Definitions and References - Static Strength

Definitions

NIOSH National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health.

- **HSC** Horizontal strength change expected when horizontal attachment position is changed for certain NIOSH static strength tests.
- **IHSC** Inappropriate horizontal strength change occurs when the expected increase or decrease in strength is not demonstrated for an HSC test.
- **NIOSH %** This value indicates how the demonstrated static strength compares to normal values published in the Work Practices Guide for Manual Lifting with 50% being average.
 - **Vertical** The height of the lifting gauge handles from the **Pos** platform for a static strength test.
- **Horizontal** Location of the lift gauge attachment point from the **Pos** medial malleoli.
- **Dynamic** The values use the Highest Mean to indicate the **Rating** theoretical load a patient may be able to lift dynamically on an occasional basis (Blankenship, 1990). Frequent and constant values are derived from the occasional lift value using ratios from the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, 1991.

References

- 1. Work Practices Guide for Manual Lifting. Washington DC; National Institute of Occupation Safety and Health; 1982. US Dept of Health and Human Services Publication No. 81-122.
- 2. Keyserling WM, Herrin GD, Chaffin DB, Amstrong TJ, Foss ML. Establishing an industrial strength testing program. Am Ind Hyg 1980:41; 730-73.
- 3. Berryhill B, Osborne P, et al. Horizontal Strength Changes: An Ergonomic Measure for Determining Validity of Effort in Impairment Evaluations a Preliminary Report. Journal of Disability, 1993:3 (1-4), 143-148.
- 4. Dictionary of Ocupational Titles. US Dept of Labor, 1991.
- 5. Blankenship KL. Functional Capacity Evaluation: The Procedure Manual. The Blankenship Corporation 1994:9.38-9.65.

Personal Status									
Relationship Status	Married	Tobacco Use	Occasional						
Education	High School	Alcohol Use	< Once/Week						
Exercise	Never	Caffeine Use	Daily						

Initial Complaint - Chief									
Causation Lifting	Severity	Severe		Frequency	Constant				
Status Stable	Status Stable Duration			ADL Interference	Constant				
Onset Date	Quality Descri	y Descriptions Exacerbati		g Factors	Relieving Factors				
11/21/2004 Location Low back and right leg.	Aching Shooting Throbbing		Bending Lifting Sitting Standing Walking		esting				

Initial Complaint - Chief - Description

Ms. Spine reports pain in her low back radiating into her left leg. She has difficulty bending, walking, dressing and performing other activities due to the pain in her low back.

Complaint Related Medical History - OTC Medicines

OTC Medicine	Aspirin	Results	Minimal to no pain relief.
Frequency	500 m/4 x daily		

Vitals								
Height	64 in	Pulse	_	Temperature	_	Blood Pressure	133 / 90	
Weight	135 lbs	Respiration	_			Arm	Left	
						Posture	Sitting	

Pain Evaluation

	Pain	Legend
	 [Numbness]/ [Pins & Needles]X [Burning Pain]	v [Stabbing Pain] 0 [Aching Pain]
	Pain Scale [0	Best - 10 Worst]
××**	Right Now 7	At Best 5
N. N	Average 5	At Worst 9

Pain Evaluation - Notes

Ms. Spine indicated that pain radiates down outside of her left leg.

Orthopedic Tests - Lumbosacral

	Left	Right	Notes
Bechterew's Sitting Test	Positive	Negative	Positive findings indicative of sciatica, intervertebral lesion, muscular spasm or subluxation.
Bowstring Sign	Positive	Negative	Pain or radiculoathy indicates a positive sign for lumbar nerve root compression.
Kemp's Test	Positive	Negative	Positive test indicates possible muscular strain, ligamentous sprain or pericapsular inflammation.
Toe Walk Test	Positive	Negative	A positive test indicates L5 or S1 nerve root motor deficiency

Myotomes [Lowe	Myotomes [Lower Extremity]										
Нір	Left	Right	Knee	Left	Right	Ankle / Foot	Left	Right			
Abduction [L4-S1]			Flexion [L4-S2]			Plantar Flexion [L5-S2]	×				
Adduction [L2-S1]			Extension [L2-L4]			Dorsi Flexion [L4-S2]					
Flexion [L1-L4]			x Indicatos woaknoss not								
Extension [L4-S1]	×					Foot Eversion [L4-S2]					
Internal Rotation [L4-S2]						Great Toe Flexion [L5-S2]	×				
External Rotation [L3-S2]						Great Toe Extension [L4-S1]	×				

Deep Tendon Reflexes (DTR)											
	Left	Right	Legend								
Jaw			0 Absent 3+ Brisk								
Biceps [C5-C6]			1+ Trace4+ Hyperactive with clonus2+ Normal5+ Sustained clonus								
Brachioradialis [C5-C6]											
Triceps [C6-C7]											
Patellar [L2-L4]	2+	2+									
Achilles [S1-S2]	2+	2+									

Deep Tendon Reflexes (DTR) - Notes

Reflexes appeared normal.





Lumbar Range of Motion (ROM) Details										
Motion		1	2	3	4	5	6	Max	Valid	
Flexion	Primary	32°	34°	31°	-	-	-			
	Secondary	7 °	6°	5°	-	-	-			
	Flexion Angle	25°	28°	26°	-	-	-	28°	Yes	
Extension	Primary	10°	11°	10°	-	-	-			
	Secondary	3°	2°	2°	-	-	-			
	Extension Angle	7 °	9 °	8°	-	-	-	9°	Yes	
Straight Leg Raise Left	Left SLR Angle									
Straight Leg Raise Right	Right SLR Angle									
Lateral Left	Primary	8°	6°	9 °	-	-	-			
	Secondary	2°	0 °	2°	-	-	-			
	Lateral Left Angle	6°	6°	7 °	-	-	-	7°	Yes	
Lateral Right	Primary	20°	21°	20°	-	-	-			
	Secondary	4 °	5°	2°	-	-	-			
	Lateral Right Angle	16°	16°	18°	-	-	-	18°	Yes	
Rotation Left	Primary									
	Secondary									
	Rotation Left Angle									
Rotation Right	Primary									
	Secondary									
	Rotation Right Angle									





Progre	Progress Report - Muscle Test - Great Toe Extension										
	Left Right										
10 lbs											
8 lbs											
6 lbs	5.4										
4 lbs	3.8										
2 lbs											
0 lbs	11/22/2004										
	Change Left Right										



Muscle Strength Test - Great Toe Extension - Notes

Patient indicated that test did not cause pain, which consistent with neurological strength loss.



Muscle Strength Test - Great Toe Flexion - Notes

Ms. Spine's strength loss is consistent with L5-S1 nerve compression.



Muscle Strength Test - Hip Extension (Knee Flexed) - Notes

Test not completed because it aggrivated symptoms of pain and muscle spasm in Ms. Spine's low back.

Muscle Test Summary

		Left						Right				
	Units	Max	Avg	CV	Cons	Grade	Diff	Max	Avg	CV	Cons	Grade
Great Toe Extension	lbs	3.8	3.7	3%	Yes	-	-30% L	5.4	5.3	0%	Yes	-
Great Toe Flexion	lbs	4.6	4.4	2%	Yes	-	-33% L	6.9	6.5	4%	Yes	-
Hip Extension (Knee Flexed)	lbs	7.7	7.5	1%	Yes	-						

Strength Ratio Summary

Nock/Trunk	Unito	Motion	Мах	Motion	Мах	Patio							
	Units	MOLIOIT	Max	MOUUT	Max	Rauo							
Neck Flexion/Extension													
Trunk Flexion/Extension													
				Left			Right						
Upper Extremity	Units	Motion	Max	Motion	Max	Ratio	Motion	Max	Motion	Max	Ratio		
Shoulder Flex/Ext													
Shoulder Horiz. Abd/Add													
Shoulder Int/Ext Rot													
Elbow Flexion/Extension													
Wrist Flexion/Extension													
				Left			Right						
Lower Extremity	Units	Motion	Max	Motion	Max	Ratio	Motion	Max	Motion	Max	Ratio		
Hip Flexion/Extension	lbs			Ext	7.7	-					-		
Hip Abduction/Adduction													
Hip Int/Ext Rotation													
Knee Flexion/Extension													
Ankle Dorsi/Plantar													
Great Toe Flex/Ext	lbs	Flex	4.6	Ext	3.8	1.21	Flex	6.9	Ext	5.4	1.28		
Foot Eversion/Inversion													